

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,547	07/20/2004	Gwendolyn Fournier	U04-0141.97	4546	
24239 7:	590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER		
MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC P.O. BOX 13706			DEAN, RAYMOND S		
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/710,54			FOURNIER ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Raymond		2618	ddross			
Period fo		лі арреаго оп ин	e cover sneet with	the correspondence at	uuress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN THE MAILING	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evi ion. period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a reply ill expire SIX (6) MONTH: lication to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 July 2004						
· · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′—	, -							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1 - 4</u> is/are rejected.							
·								
Applicati	on Papers							
	•	aminer						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	r(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S ' No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/M	imary (PTO-413) Iail Date mal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isomaki et al. (US 2005/0135374) in view of Kauppinen (US 7,085,365).

Regarding Claim 1, Isomaki teaches in a mobile terminal, a method of activating a silent mode for PTT calls, the method comprising: activating a silent mode for the

• •

Art Unit: 2618

mobile terminal (Sections 0049, 0062, since the auto answer mode can be activated there is an implication that the auto answer mode can be deactivated, when the auto answer mode is deactivated the manual mode is invoked, during the manual mode the called party must accept a PTT invitation before a PTT call session can occur thus there will be no audio without the consent of the called party, the manual mode is the silent mode). Isomaki also teaches a PTT server (Section 0049).

Isomaki does not teach activating a silent mode for the mobile terminal that includes sending a message instructing a PTT server to disable the PoC global setting associated with the mobile terminal's PTT server accept list.

Kauppinen teaches activating a mode for the mobile terminal that includes sending a message instructing a server to disable the PoC global setting associated with the mobile terminal's server accept list (Columns 5 lines 51 - 57, 6 lines 8 - 20, in order for a subscriber to manipulate the attributes said subscriber must send messages to the server).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the PoC system of Isomaki with the listing method of Kauppinen for purpose of enabling a subscriber to define who is allowed to reach said subscriber thereby enabling said subscriber to screen calls as taught by Kauppinen.

Regarding Claim 2, Isomaki teaches in a mobile terminal, a method of activating a silent mode for PTT calls, the method comprising: de-activating a silent mode for the mobile terminal (Sections 0049, 0062, the auto answer mode is activated, which means that the audio will be sent directly to the subscriber without the subscriber's consent.

Art Unit: 2618

when the auto answer mode is activated the manual mode, which is the silent mode, is de-activated). Isomaki also teaches a PTT server (Section 0049).

Isomaki does not teach de-activating a silent mode for the mobile terminal that includes sending a message instructing a PTT server to enable the PoC global setting associated with the mobile terminal's PTT server accept list.

Kauppinen teaches de-activating a mode for the mobile terminal that includes sending a message instructing a server to enable the PoC global setting associated with the mobile terminal's server accept list (Columns 5 lines 51 – 57, 6 lines 8 – 20, in order for a subscriber to manipulate the attributes said subscriber must send messages to the server).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the PoC system of Isomaki with the listing method of Kauppinen for purpose of enabling a subscriber to define who is allowed to reach said subscriber thereby enabling said subscriber to screen calls as taught by Kauppinen.

Regarding Claim 3, Isomaki teaches a PTT server (Section 0049), a method of activating silent mode for calls for a client mobile terminal, the method comprising the mobile terminal activating a silent mode (Sections 0049, 0062, since the auto answer mode can be activated there is an implication that the auto answer mode can be deactivated, when the auto answer mode is deactivated the manual mode is invoked, during the manual mode the called party must accept a PTT invitation before a PTT call session can occur thus there will be no audio without the consent of the called party, the manual mode is the silent mode).

Art Unit: 2618

Isomaki does not teach in a PTT server, the method comprising: receiving a message from the client mobile terminal instructing the PTT server to disable the PoC global setting associated with the client mobile terminal's PTT server accept list, said message the result of the mobile terminal activating a silent mode.

Kauppinen teaches in a server, the method comprising: receiving a message from the client mobile terminal instructing the server to disable the PoC global setting associated with the client mobile terminal's server accept list, said message the result of the mobile terminal activating a mode (Columns 5 lines 51 - 57, 6 lines 8 - 20, in order for a subscriber to manipulate the attributes said subscriber must send messages to the server).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the PoC system of Isomaki with the listing method of Kauppinen for purpose of enabling a subscriber to define who is allowed to reach said subscriber thereby enabling said subscriber to screen calls as taught by Kauppinen.

Regarding Claim 4, Isomaki teaches a PTT server (Section 0049), a method of de-activating silent mode for calls for a client mobile terminal, the method comprising the mobile terminal de-activating a silent mode (Sections 0049, 0062, the auto answer mode is activated, which means that the audio will be sent directly to the subscriber without the subscriber's consent, when the auto answer mode is activated the manual mode, which is the silent mode, is de-activated).

Isomaki does not teach in a PTT server, the method comprising: receiving a message from the client mobile terminal instructing the PTT server to enable the PoC

global setting associated with the client mobile terminal's PTT server accept list, said message the result of the mobile terminal de-activating a silent mode.

Kauppinen teaches in a server, the method comprising: receiving a message from the client mobile terminal instructing the server to enable the PoC global setting associated with the client mobile terminal's server accept list, said message the result of the mobile terminal de-activating a mode (Columns 5 lines 51 – 57, 6 lines 8 – 20, in order for a subscriber to manipulate the attributes said subscriber must send messages to the server)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the PoC system of Isomaki with the listing method of Kauppinen for purpose of enabling a subscriber to define who is allowed to reach said subscriber thereby enabling said subscriber to screen calls as taught by Kauppinen.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean August 14, 2006

NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER